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The discipline of hospital medicine has grown exponentially in the past decade, and it is predicted to continue to grow at a rate of 10% to 20% per year in the near future. Hospital medicine is now comparable in size to emergency medicine and cardiology and is projected to be larger than any other internal medicine field, other than primary care. This growth is attributed to market forces and is also seen as a response to calls for improvements in quality, safety, efficiency, and cost-effectiveness of inpatient medical care. Despite initial concern that this model of care might not be accepted by the public and the medical community, patients and primary care providers have reported satisfaction with the care provided by hospitalists. Similarly, medical students and residents are satisfied with the teaching and educational roles taken on by hospitalists. Studies also have demonstrated the positive impact of hospitalists on the functioning of hospitals in terms of financial measures, patient care, efficiency, and administrative changes.

A Society of Hospital Medicine survey estimates that at least half of the new positions in hospital medicine are being filled by recent graduates of residencies and fellowships. Students and residents may be drawn to hospital medicine for its novelty, lifestyle, and professional characteristics. However, the work-related factors that affect hospitalists’ job satisfaction merit closer scrutiny. Because hospital medicine is a new and changing field, students, residents, and the faculty who advise them may not be aware of the core characteristics of hospital medicine that may promote professional satisfaction. For example, are hospitalists as satisfied as the constituents they serve? What aspects of hospital medicine are likely to promote professional well-being, and what aspects may lead to burnout? Understanding what makes hospitalists flourish professionally can inform students and residents considering a career in hospital medicine and can help their mentors and program directors guide them to a hospital program that matches their goals and interests.

Based on a review of the literature, we describe 4 key factors that impact professional satisfaction for hospitalists: clinical duties, work environment, opportunities for professional development, and lifestyle considerations. Borrowing from the career development and advising literature, we have developed a concise personal/professional inventory related to each domain of professional satisfaction for physicians interested in hospital medicine. These exercises pose reflective questions about personality, communication styles, work habits, motivators, and goals that may assist prospective hospitalists in making career decisions. Mentors and program directors may find these inventories useful as an advising tool.

Clinical Duties

Hospitalists care for a wide range of patients from diverse backgrounds. Although inpatients often present with acute problems, many have significant chronic illnesses and ongoing psychosocial issues. Hospitalists are responsible for the entire patient, shepherding them through diagnosis, testing, treatment, and discharge.
This requires a broad knowledge of internal medicine, psychiatry, and geriatric medicine as well as subspecialty medicine. Using ICD-9 data, Glasheen et al described the range of diagnoses commonly managed by community-based hospitalists. They found that in addition to traditional internal medicine diagnoses such as chest pain, gastrointestinal bleeding, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, hospitalists were frequently managing neurologic, orthopedic, and general surgical cases, with diagnoses such as acute stroke, hip fracture, and bowel obstruction. In addition, nearly half of the patients in this data set were over age 65 years.

While the challenge of caring for complex patients with diverse diagnoses may bring out the best in some physicians, others may feel overwhelmed with the care of complicated and acutely ill patients. Some hospitalists have rated their competence as suboptimal in certain clinical areas, such as geriatrics and end-of-life or palliative care and feel limited in their opportunities for skill enhancement. Prospective hospitalists should be aware of the clinical scope of hospital medicine as well as opportunities for augmenting their skills through fellowship training and continuing medical education programs. While current hospitalist fellowship programs often are designed with the mission of training hospitalists for academic research careers, many also provide opportunities for enhancement of clinical skills, such as training in palliative medicine or invasive procedures.

Hospitalists may be called upon to significantly adjust their clinical roles as the needs of the hospital change. Medical consultation is an example where hospitalists’ responsibilities are changing. Although only a small fraction of internal medicine residency training, medical consultation may become a substantial part of a hospital’s professional duties. In one survey, 90% of US hospitalists reported they had medical consultation responsibilities in addition to providing routine inpatient care. Glasheen et al found that in community-based hospitalist groups, 6.4% of patients seen were billed as consults. Increasingly, hospitalists are being asked to provide collaborative care with other services such as surgery and obstetrics. Comanagement of surgical patients by hospitalists, which involves expanded care of these patients beyond the traditional medical consult role, may be adopted more broadly if randomized trials demonstrate improved outcomes. However, while data suggest that surgeons and nurses are more satisfied when hospitalists comanage surgical patients, there is concern that automatically referring all surgical cases for comanagement may place undue stress on a hospitalist program and increase the rate of burnout. Even proponents of comanagement have advised carefully selecting appropriate cases, such as patients with active medical problems, advanced age, or comorbidities, where hospitalist expertise can be most valuable to the surgical team. Other examples of the expanding clinical role of hospitalists include participation in innovations such as rapid response teams and active collaboration with the emergency department to improve patient throughput.

This evolution and expansion of duties over time may affect the professional satisfaction of hospitalists. While some hospitalists may embrace these as opportunities, others may be less comfortable with new roles or functions in clinical care. In a well-managed program, the expansion of clinical duties may create opportunities for hospitalists to take on leadership roles to help innovate and enhance the care of hospitalized patients. Prospective hospitalists may use the inventory in Table 1 to reflect on the importance of various clinical roles for their career decision making.

**Work Environment**

Hospitalists may choose from a variety of work environments, such as community-based versus academic medical centers, urban versus rural settings, and private practice versus hospital-owned groups. There are other factors inherent to each work environment that may impact professional satisfaction. These include working in a collaborative setting, the potential for interpersonal conflict, and professional autonomy.

Hospitalists routinely interact with primary care providers, subspecialty consultants, emergency medicine physicians, nurses, midlevel providers, social workers, pharmacists, and case managers. For many physicians, these daily interactions with health care professionals may increase professional satisfaction by helping to counteract stressors, such as heavy patient loads or productivity pressures. A 2001 survey of hospitalists by Hoff et al
found that professional relationships between hospitalists and their colleagues, including specialists, nurses, and midlevel providers, enhanced job satisfaction and prevented physician burnout. More than half of the hospitalists in this survey reported high levels of camaraderie and solidarity within their practices, and 75% reported that their patient care team functioned collaboratively. Moreover, these findings were associated with low levels of burnout and the intent to remain in practice as a hospitalist for at least 8 years.\(^2\) Hoff et al’s finding that 67% of hospitalists surveyed intended to remain in their career for at least 8 years\(^2\) is also quite favorable.\(^2\)

While colleagues can be an excellent buffer against burnout, they may also be a source of conflict.\(^30,31\) In the general health care literature, conflict between providers (eg, physicians and nurses) has been described as arising from miscommunication, differences in culture or training, fatigue, and poor interpersonal skills, or from deeper organizational tensions, such as budget constraints.\(^32–34\) Potential examples of conflict for hospitalists might be disagreements with team members regarding treatment plans, difficulty coordinating schedules, or competition for limited resources. Such conflict, when common and when left unresolved, is a source of professional dissatisfaction and burnout and may lead to high employee turnover. Recommended approaches to conflict management in the health care setting have included communication and professionalism training, using managers and role models as mediators, and identifying and addressing common systemic causes of interpersonal conflict.\(^33\) Prospective hospitalists should be aware of potential sources of conflict and consider programs with structures that enhance professional relationships and minimize conflict.\(^35,36\)

Professional autonomy represents another key component of job satisfaction to consider.\(^29,37,38\) Positions with high levels of autonomy allow physicians control over their clinical decisions and the care of their patients as well as adequate freedom within their professional environment.\(^39\) While hospitalists work in a collaborative environment, they also report high levels of clinical autonomy,\(^28\) which may enhance professional satisfaction. A personal/professional inventory related to an optimal work environment for career satisfaction is shown in Table 2.

**Table 2. Personal/Professional Inventory Related to Optimal Work Environment**

| Consider the pros and cons of various program types (large, small, academic, community-based). What is the leadership and culture of the program? How might these change over time? |
| Assess your communication skills and how they impact your relationships with patients and colleagues. |
| Does working collaboratively with multiple providers enhance or limit your professional satisfaction? |
| How are decisions made and how is conflict resolved in the programs you are considering? |
| Weigh the importance of clinical autonomy for your work satisfaction. |

**Professional Opportunities Beyond Clinical Roles**

Hospital medicine offers many opportunities beyond clinical activities for professional growth and development in both academic and nonacademic settings. Hospitalists can seek advanced education and training for career tracks as educators, quality experts, investigators, and administrators. These pathways offer both potential rewards and challenges.\(^40\) Accepting responsibilities beyond direct patient care may enhance professional satisfaction or may cause physicians to feel overextended. Prospective hospitalists may wish to consider which activities outside of direct patient care best serve their long-term career goals. Experienced hospitalists can mentor newer hospitalists in making career decisions that reflect their professional interests.\(^41–45\)

Many hospitals have developed systems-based programs to address patient safety, efficiency, and evidence-based practice. Hospitals can use these opportunities to design and lead quality improvement initiatives.\(^12,25,24\) By serving on committees or developing hospital policies, hospitalists are important stakeholders in the ongoing quality improvement movement.\(^2\) As coordinators of inpatient care delivered by interdisciplinary teams, hospitalists can conduct valuable clinical research in areas such as health care delivery and quality improvement. However, attaining success as clinical investigators is challenging for hospitalists, particularly if they lack research skills, protected time for research, or experienced mentors.\(^15\) Programs that promote cross-divisional or cross-institutional networking may ensure the success of their hospitalists in securing grant funding and mentoring.\(^44,45\)

For hospitalists with interests or expertise in finance, there are multiple ways to engage in the business side of hospital medicine. As hospitalists are invited to participate in novel clinical settings, those skilled in economic modeling can provide input on relative costs, benefits, and trade-offs.\(^35,46,47\)

Hospitalists play a vital role in resident and medical student education.\(^48\) Several studies have demonstrated that hospitalists are regarded by their learners as excellent teachers on the wards.\(^2,4,5,15,49,50\) Hospitalists are also at the forefront of implementing improvements to the traditional inpatient internal medicine curriculum.
These include formal instruction in evidence-based medicine and mentoring students and residents in quality improvement and patient safety initiatives. In addition, with the advent of the resident duty hour restrictions, hospitalists are highly visible role models for residents learning about appropriate patient handoffs and coordination of care between providers. Hospitalists are beginning to play even more direct roles in resident and medical student training through development of clinical rotations, electives, subinternships, and dedicated residency tracks in hospital medicine. Whether hospitalists are full-time clinician-educators or occasional ward attendings, teaching opportunities may be an important source of fulfillment. A personal/professional inventory for assessing the importance of nonclinical activities for career satisfaction is shown in Table 3.

**LIFESTYLE ISSUES**

Medical students rate lifestyle as important in their selection of residencies. Students and residents may be attracted to hospital medicine because of its lifestyle features. Hospitalists earn 10% to 15% more than primary care providers in the same geographic areas, and self-employed hospitalists typically earn more than those working for organizations. Salaried hospitalist positions appeal to physicians who need reliable incomes or those who are wary of running their own businesses. Many programs incorporate bonuses or incentive pay for higher productivity. Some groups are managed like traditional outpatient practices, where members are partners, assuming financial risk in return for higher potential income. However, prospective hospitalists should be aware that, as in other medical fields, female hospitalists may receive lower compensation than their male counterparts. Hoff found that even after controlling for age, tenure as hospitalists, job structure, and motivating factor for becoming a hospitalist, gender still accounted for the largest part of the difference. However, this survey did not control for hours worked. Despite this finding, males and females in the survey were equally satisfied. A recent Society of Hospital Medicine survey also found a difference in reported earnings by male and female hospitalists, which may be attributed to differences in revenue generated.

The scheduling structure of hospitalist programs may enhance physician satisfaction and well-being. Many hospitalist programs are organized into shifts, where hours are relatively predictable. Days off for hospitalists are generally protected. Shift work also allows for part-time and family-friendly scheduling. However, because hospital wards are open 24 hours a day, 365 days a year, hospitalist programs need to provide continuous coverage. This necessitates adequate staffing for colleagues who are ill or on leave. An inventory for weighing lifestyle factors in career decision making and satisfaction is shown in Table 4.

**CONCLUSION**

The success of the hospital medicine movement appears to have established it as an increasingly attractive medical specialty (Table 5). However, the ever-changing nature of hospital medicine in clinical, administrative, and academic spheres creates some degree of uncertainty for prospective hospitalists. Opportunities exist for prospective hospitalists to create a niche of interest within and beyond clinical care. Medical students and residents considering careers in hospital medicine may wish to examine how their professional satisfaction could be enhanced by the unique opportunities and challenges related to clinical duties, the work environment, opportunities for professional development, and lifestyle issues in hospital medicine. After reflecting upon their professional and personal needs, they can seek an environment where they will thrive.
Table 5. Domains That May Impact Professional Satisfaction Among Hospitalist Physicians

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Pros</th>
<th>Cons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clinical duties</td>
<td>Patient diversity and complexity</td>
<td>Variety of patients are appealing to many providers</td>
<td>Increased stress due to insufficient training and support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Clinical roles may evolve (eg, rapid response teams and surgical comanagement)</td>
<td>Opportunities for professional growth and leadership</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Appreciation by colleagues and hospital financial rewards</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work environment</td>
<td>Collaboration and teamwork</td>
<td>Support from colleagues and independent clinical decision making</td>
<td>Conflict potential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Autonomy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional development</td>
<td>QI and systems-based innovations</td>
<td>Ability to satisfy interests beyond clinical care</td>
<td>Potential for increased stress and burnout unless protected time, mentorship, and support are provided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Research</td>
<td>Leadership opportunities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Business</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Medical education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Administration and leadership</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifestyle</td>
<td>Salary</td>
<td>Competitive reimbursement</td>
<td>Salaries may vary depending on revenue generated, hours, and possibly gender</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Scheduling</td>
<td>Variety of practice arrangements and salary structures</td>
<td>Need to cover nights, weekends, holidays, and sick coverage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Flexible scheduling, including part-time and protected days off</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

QI = quality improvement.

Acknowledgment: The authors thank Drs. Eric Bass, Daniel Brotman, Colleen Christmas, and Eric Howell for their review and feedback on the manuscript.

Dr. Wright is an Arnold P. Gold Foundation Professor of Medicine, and he also receives support as a Miller-Coulson Family Scholar through the Johns Hopkins Center for Innovative Medicine.

Dr. Lexine is the Mary O’Flarety Horn Scholar in General Medicine awarded through the Society of General Internal Medicine.

Corresponding author: Melinda E. Kantsiper, MD, Collaborative Inpatient Medicine Service (CIMS), Department of Medicine, Johns Hopkins Bayview Medical Center, 4940 Eastern Avenue, Baltimore, MD 21224; Mkantsi1@jhmi.edu.

REFERENCES

Copyright 2009 by Turner White Communications Inc., Wayne, PA. All rights reserved.